

Stormwater Training for Construction Site Inspectors

April 11, 2013

Workshop Evaluations Summary

Number of attendees: 89

Number of evaluations: 52 (58%)

1. Was the material presented relevant to your job?

	<u>No</u>				<u>Yes</u>
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	2	4	14	30

comments:

- The MRP requirements and O&M Inspection

2. Were the presentations clear and easy to follow?

	<u>No</u>				<u>Yes</u>
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	2	4	18	26

3. Was the pace of the presentations appropriate?

	<u>No</u>				<u>Yes</u>
	1-1	2	3	4	5
responses	1	2	8	14	25

comments:

- I would have liked more time on last one O & M
- Too fast.
- Needed more time for questions and discussions. Presenters racing the clock.

4. Were the presenters knowledgeable about the material?

	<u>No</u>				<u>Yes</u>
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	0	0	8	43

5. Were the presenters well-prepared?

	<u>No</u>				<u>Yes</u>
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	0	1	10	39

comments:

- Time management.

6. Did the presenters invite questions and participation?

	No				Yes
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	0	5	6	39

comments:

- As much as time allowed.

7. Were the handouts informative and useful?

	No				Yes
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	1	4	12	33

8. Overall, how useful was this workshop?

	Not useful				Very useful
	1	2	3	4	5
responses	0	1	4	19	26

9. What was most valuable about today's training?

- Caltrans presentation very helpful for inspecting road projects.
- Liked Caltrans presentation, interactive session, O&M
- Caltrans presentation.
- Caltrans presentation.
- Differences between MPP & Statewide permit.
- Differences between general construction permit & MRP.
- Clarification between GCP and MRP reporting requirements.
- Contrast black/white statewide general permit v. MRP
- Discussions regarding General Permit vs. MRP
- Right amount of background and detailed information pertaining to current permit requirements, plus nice speakers referred to current and past deficiencies relating to this workshop.
- Finding out training can be in house.
- Refreshing old information.
- Q & A
- BMP=No minimums?
- Presentation on the differences between the MRP & State Construction Permit.
- Laura's presentation, informative.
- Exercise.
- BMP examples and inspection form exercise.
- Review of construction site BMPs.
- BMP review.
- Sediment and erosion control pics.

- Example pictures of good and bad sites.
- Photo examples.
- Great photos and examples of site control.
- Seeing slides of good BMPs.
- Photos of examples.
- Visual presentations. Use of pictures.
- Examples and requirements.
- Building Inspector training.
- O&M inspections.
- O&M checklist.
- Requirements of municipal inspections.
- Learning in general.
- Controlled training.
- The process of inspection.
- Refresher of C.3 and C.6
- Updates to the requirements for site inspections.
- Awareness of various conditions/concerns.
- Focusing attention on issues.
- Decent intro

10. What was least valuable about today's training?

- Permanent facility inspections are not something I am responsible for.
- Post Construction requirements.
- O&M inspections (does not apply to me currently).
- Many staff still do not understand difference between CGP and MRP.
- Need to provide a summary/introduction of MRP and CGP for folks that don't know and are new to the subject.
- State requirements.
- Top ten differences.
- Caltrans not relevant.
- Caltrans.
- Caltrans process.
- Examples of BMPs.
- Would be helpful to have some ideas what local agencies are doing and their ordinances.
- Not inspector specific.
- It was all good.
- As a first timer – overwhelmed by the details – especially at the beginning
- None.
- None
- It was all good info.
- N/A
- Too crowded/seating.
- Inadequate room size, speaker's volume, and rushing on.
- Too large as a classroom, too small as an assembly hall.

11. Please offer suggestions for what could be improved.

- Need to spend more time on BMPs.
- Provide commonly used Acronym-attendees may not always know.
- Key dates for reporting.
- Define wet season date.
- Provide sample MRP Annual Report website of SMCWPPP, Waterboards, Caltrans, etc.
- More frequent/larger trainings.
- Review of specific sections of C.3, C.4, C.5, etc.
- Mandated funding on a state municipal level to cover costs of these statewide/regional-wide requirements=USE TAX!!!
- Pictures were great! Maybe ones that are more dramatic.
- Video footage may help as well.
- Continue to offer training in proper reporting of SWCPPP.
- Need a remedial training/more time less topics.
- More time/training on basics of different permits and who is required to do what inspection where, and when.
- Differentiate C.3, C.6 as part of MRP & the GCP & areas that may overlap. Within those discussions go thru examples.
- More examples of construction projects (i.e. paving projects, linear pipeline projects, buildings) and how GCP and MRP would be applied to each.
- Site visit as in the past to see properly installed erosion control and sediment controls.
- More time.
- A microphone P.A. system.
- Be more clear and take more time explaining the roles of municipalities inspectors.
- More emphasis on municipality responsibilities vs. state/regional board responsibilities with respect to the permits.
- Tailor a class related to civil projects for public agencies, e.g. roadway, trails, retaining wall inspection.
- Provide a flow chart of the permit process for local agencies to follow i.e. to obtain CGP.
- Who performs inspections of construction projects? Make this topic clearer.
- Site visits would be great.
- Hand outs on products available for erosion control, maybe water detention systems and dispersion. How they should be constructed. We are seeing them often in the field.
- A well prepared checklist for inspection.
- The comparison between state permit and MRP confusing.
- Map of area of meeting.
- Appropriate room size and speaker system.
- Better visibility of on screen presentation.
- Color choices of written material not always visible.
- Breakout into groups/tailor training to experience level.

12. Please offer suggestions for future training topics.

- Provide reference materials for future use for attendees.
- Use a microphone.
- Discussion of Design Requirements.
- Topic on how agencies are to comply with limited staff and budget.
- What authority is available to use to enforce and fully fund the permit requirements.
- Basics. Design of treatment facilities or plan reading.
- More information on permits (MPP & statewide).
- I suggest going through examples/projects & go thru requirements per MRP/CGP first. It seems the audience gets confused too early during the training. Possibly talk more of NOVs and how it was corrected.
- More photo examples.
- Suggesting BMP/making requirements of contractors. Resolving conflicts, demonstrating importance of S.W. Regs
- Maybe a focus on recent state/regional board audits in the area and findings.
- Certification preparation.
- Stormwater treatment procedures, materials for permanent installations.
- Full trash capture material list.
- Permanent stormwater treatment controls presentation with manufacturers.
- For inclusion during plan review process.
- More BMP techniques for construction sites, love the pictures!

General Comments?

- Judging the questions, some more basic permit information.
- Good presentation. But: Needed more time allotted.
- Nice pace, information. Liked Caltrans presentation, Kristin and Laura were great!
- Provide excerpt MRP permit relative to the workshop.
- Also, may want to review attendee list to see if workshop materials provided are information enough, i.e. acronym list etc.
- Overall a good workshop.
- Please provide more than one training per calendar year for Building Inspectors.
- Shutting down inspections for an entire department is a problem. Also, staff may not be available on the training day, i.e. on vacation.
- Thanks.
- Forgot to have everyone introduce themselves. Would like to know if consultants were on hand and representation of municipal agencies.
- Keep same format. Don't change it.
- There still seems to be a lot of general confusion about all the types of permits, inspection requirements, and reporting requirements. They are not user friendly.
- Good class, interesting choice of when you chose to give the review class at the end of the rainy season.
- None.
- Unfunded mandates? How nice.
- Great presentation. Great speakers.
- Please enlarge font on slide pictures for later reference.
- Overall, well done. Presenters are knowledgeable on topic. Easy to understand.
- Enjoyable training; thanks.